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Cyber security attacks continue to evolve. Organizations of all sizes being are being targeted by a variety of threat actors using a wide range of tactics and techniques. Extortion incidents are on the rise and attacks against cloud services have increased due to organizations moving more workloads to the cloud as part of their broader IT strategies.

Although cyber security attacks against all industries are noted, financial institutions continue to make up the majority. Of the incidents that the Mandiant consulting division at FireEye (a cyber-security firm) responded to in 2018, 23 per cent were from the financial services industry.

In 2018, North Korea, Russia, China, and Iran were responsible for the greatest number of cyber espionage attacks worldwide. We have seen enhanced sophistication of attacks from North Korean actors targeting financial institutions through the exploitation of previously unreported vulnerabilities in high-value assets, can be used by threat actors to derive where potential weaknesses exist. State-sponsored threat actors can also inform a nation’s commercial interests by obtaining economic intelligence from business negotiations with foreign entities.
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The rise of financially motivated supply chain attacks by state-sponsored threat actors can partially be attributed to increased sanctions against some of the referenced nation states, where the need to obtain funds using any means is considered necessary. For example, in operations across the globe, North Korean threat actors have attempted to steal over US$1.1 billion from financial companies by abusing bank-to-bank transfers over the previous two years.

Cyber criminals also continue to target companies in the financial and insurance space by leveraging social engineering and phishing attacks to deliver ransomware with the aim of extorting organizations for financial gain. Cyber criminals use similar methods to steal sensitive information from both insurers and their respective clients, holding this information with the threat of public disclosure should the organization not meet certain financial demands. Cyber criminals will also leverage client/underwriting relationships to gather sensitive information and subsequently sell this on underground markets for identity theft, extortion, and fraud.

Mandiant predicts that cyber crime, especially cyber fraud, will continue to increase in 2019. Attacks against financial websites where virtual “skimmers” are used to steal personal information,
payment card numbers, and credit card CVV codes will continue to rise. In 2018, financial threat actors used advanced techniques to reverse-engineer account registration processes against online portals to gain access to accounts, transfer funds, order cheques, and modify transaction destinations. Much of the decrease can be attributed to organizations working to continually improve their ability to detect threats early – either through creating internal-threat-hunting capabilities or developing enhanced network, endpoint, and cloud detection and response capabilities.

In addition, ensuring security involvement within ERM practices with a clearly defined risk strategy as it relates to cyber threats is important. Organizations should adopt a structured and measured view of security risks and provide clear strategies for mitigation and remediation. Detailed processes around quantification, ranking, ownership, tracking, and mitigation should be developed to ensure a consistent and comprehensive approach is followed. Centralized risk management solutions can be implemented to assist with standardized tracking of cyber security risks and associated processes.

When tracking risk, factor in suppliers that could expose the organization if breached, and associated controls such as managing a reduced supplier base and imposing strict vendor controls and attestation requirements, while also ensuring that unauthorized changes to software are detected through established processes.

Organizations must also ensure a consistent and systematic framework and methodology for detecting cyber security incidents, along with a defined process for analysis, prioritization, containment, and response.

Table-top exercises to test response effectiveness combined with regular “red-team” assessments to test the organization’s detection and response capabilities can be used to further streamline and enhance capabilities. Internal and external penetration testing are also an effective way to detect vulnerabilities and configuration issues that threat actors use to exploit environments to gain further access.

Training staff on how to spot and report a phishing email, especially those that ask the user to take a particular action, is also an important factor in preventing threat actors from gaining initial access into the environment. Regular phishing simulations are a proven way to test awareness messaging and overall program effectiveness.

Security breaches are inevitable, but with strong security governance practices, along with a defined approach to incident handling combined with preventive measures, organizations can lessen their overall impact.
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